

AFRL REGIONAL HUB NETWORK - MIDWEST

AFRL Regional Hub Network – Midwest 2023 Funding Call – Phase II Evaluation

Title: _____ Call: _____

Proposer Name and Institution: _____ Reviewer Name: _____

Instructions: Please evaluate the proposal using the four criteria, scoring each from 1 to 10. Scoring definitions are on the last page. Use the example sentences and questions as guidance. High scores do not require meeting all suggestions.

Provide supporting comments for each criterion. Comments will be compiled and shared with proposer.

Evaluation Criteria

Score 1-10

(1) Mutual Interest to Air Force

Is this addressing an Air Force technical need? Is there specific AFRL support for the project? How does the proposed effort align with the mission and goals of the Air Force and proposing organizations? What is the potential impact of the research on the Air Force, commercial entities, manufacturing, future warfighters, etc.? How will this project leverage future partnerships and relationships with the Air Force and other Hub network partners?

Comments to Proposer:

(2) High Potential for Transition

Are the scientific or technology transition questions, needs, and risk reduction efforts clearly addressed as part of this project? Are the key objectives reasonably obtainable within 6 months? Are the objectives reasonably obtainable within 6 months? If the objectives are met, is the transition significant? Are there plans for commercialization or production (or should there be at the proposed stage)?

Comments to Proposer:

(3) Technical Merits

What is the problem the proposal addresses? Why is it important? Are the proposed teaming arrangements, methods, plans, assessments, etc. appropriate to achieve the stated objectives and/or milestones? What are the potential limitations or risks of the project as proposed and have they been addressed? Are the expected outcomes and impacts of the project clearly explained and justified?

Comments to Proposer:

(4) AFRL Interest and Opportunity for Embedding AFRL S&Es Within the Network

How are opportunities provided to embed personnel into the network? Are there opportunities for the proposer's organization to collaborate with other organizations or partners to embed personnel into their networks? Are there opportunities for embedded personnel to receive training and mentorship in new skills and technology? How will the embedded personnel be integrated into the research team and what roles will they play in the research? Are there other ways this project interacts with the AFRL workforce for skill and knowledge transfer?

Comments to Proposer:

Comments to Other Reviewers (confidential):

Total Score

Scoring:

10 (Outstanding): The proposal fully meets all of the established criteria and exceeds expectations. The proposal demonstrates a high level of quality and is technically innovative, relevant, feasible, and impactful.

9 (Excellent): The proposal meets all of the established criteria and is of very high quality. The proposal demonstrates a high level of creativity, innovation, and impact.

8 (Very Good): The proposal meets all of the established criteria and is of high quality. The proposal demonstrates a good level of creativity, innovation, and impact.

7 (Good): The proposal meets most of the established criteria and is of good quality. The proposal demonstrates a good level of creativity, innovation, and impact.

6 (Satisfactory): The proposal meets some of the established criteria and is of satisfactory quality. The proposal demonstrates an adequate level of creativity, innovation, and impact.

5 (Adequate): The proposal meets some of the established criteria, but has some shortcomings. The proposal is of adequate quality, but there are areas for improvement.

4 (Below Average): The proposal meets some of the established criteria, but has significant shortcomings. The proposal is of below-average quality and has several areas for improvement.

3 (Poor): The proposal does not meet most of the established criteria and has significant weaknesses. The proposal is of poor quality and does not meet the intent or criteria.

2 (Very Poor): The proposal does not meet any of the established criteria and has severe weaknesses. The proposal is of very poor quality and does not meet the intent or criteria.

1 (Unacceptable): The proposal is not acceptable and does not meet any of the established criteria. The proposal is of extremely poor quality and does not meet the intent or criteria.

Updated: 1 February 2023